

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Ciudad-Coronado SWCD-Tribal

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Ciudad-Coronado SWCD-Tribal		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of ground water pollution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of surface water pollution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10 ppm or less?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
-----------------	-----------

1. Irr.Crop #1. Will the treatment result in the field system efficiency index of > or = to 50%? (Refer to FIRS)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr.Crop #2. Will the treatment result in the field system efficiency index between 35% to 49%? (Refer to FIRS)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr.Crop #3. Will the applicant address water quality and water quantity with two or more practices (i.e. 430 & 464 or 428A & 464)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr.Crop #4. Will the applicant address water quality and water quantity with only one practice (i.e. 430,464 or 428A)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr.crop #5. Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract that is not being applied on schedule?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Irr.Crop #6. Has the applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification within the last 5 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Irr.Crop #7. Will the applicant implement an RMS level Conservation Plan on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Irr.Crop #8. Has the applicant performed a soil test within the last two years on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Irr.Crop #9. Is the applicant farming organically?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Irr.Crop #10. Does the applicant currently keep records of management practices like irrigation water management, pesticides applications, fertilizer applications, and/or others?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. GR #1. Is the applicant currently implementing a prescribed grazing system?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. GR #2. Will applicant implement a prescribed grazing system (528) after treatment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. GR #3 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract that is not being applied on schedule?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. GR #4 Has the applicant had an EQIP contract in the last five years that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. GR #5 Will the applicant implement an RMS level conservation plan on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. GR #6 Will this treatment include practice (314) that will address invasive woody species (if present) ?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. GR #7 Is the applicant currently implementing pest management to control invasive noxious species (if present) ?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. GR #8 Will this treatment include practices (i.e. 362, 356, or 348) that will address sheet & rill erosion (if present)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. GR #9 Will treatment of this land enhance habitat for Threatened and/or Endangered (at risk) species ?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist: Signature Date:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development: Signature Date:
---	---